

Date: 2025-07-25 First Name: JeanClaude Last Name: Holly

Title: n/a

Organization: self

Address:
City: Houston
State: TX
Zipcode:
Phone:

Affirm public info: I agree

Regarding: Congressional

Message:

To the Texas State House Select Committee on Congressional Redistricting:

If Texas moves forward with redrawing its congressional maps to give five more seats to Republicans and take five away from Democrats, it will send a strong message to the rest of the country — that it's okay to change maps just to help one party win more seats.

This would open the door for Democratic-led states like New York, Oregon, and New Mexico to do the same. If Texas redraws maps for political gain, these other states may respond by redrawing their maps to help Democrats and hurt Republicans.

That would have serious effects:

- 1. Breaking Traditions: Redrawing maps in the middle of the decade is rare and usually only done by courts. If Texas does this, it sets a new rule one that says "anything goes."
- 2. A Chain Reaction: Blue and purple states may strike back by removing Republican seats, making Congress even more divided and political.
- 3. Less Trust in Elections: Voters may feel confused or cheated if maps keep changing for political reasons. That can lower trust in our elections and government.
- 4. More Lawsuits: These kinds of changes often end up in court, wasting time and taxpayer money.
- 5. Pressure for Federal Action: If more states start changing maps for political reasons, Congress or the courts may step in to stop it. That could lead to big changes in how redistricting works nationwide.

And let's be honest — if Texas redraws these maps purely to protect political power, it confirms what many voters already believe: that too many politicians work for their own interests and their biggest donors, not for the people they were elected to serve. This kind of action doesn't strengthen democracy — it weakens it.

Texas should not start a new political battle over maps. Fair districts are supposed to give everyone a voice — not just help one party stay in power. I ask this committee to put fairness and transparency first.

To the Texas State House Select Committee on Congressional Redistricting:

If Texas moves forward with redrawing its congressional maps to give five more seats to Republicans and take five away from Democrats, it will send a strong message to the rest of the country — that it's okay to change maps just to help one party win more seats.

This would open the door for **Democratic-led states like New York, Oregon, and New Mexico** to do the same. If Texas redraws maps for political gain, these other states may respond by redrawing their maps to help Democrats and hurt Republicans.

That would have serious effects:

- Breaking Traditions: Redrawing maps in the middle of the decade is rare and usually only done by courts. If Texas does this, it sets a new rule — one that says "anything goes."
- 2. **A Chain Reaction**: Blue and purple states may strike back by removing Republican seats, making Congress even more divided and political.
- 3. **Less Trust in Elections**: Voters may feel confused or cheated if maps keep changing for political reasons. That can lower trust in our elections and government.
- 4. **More Lawsuits**: These kinds of changes often end up in court, wasting time and taxpayer money.
- 5. **Pressure for Federal Action**: If more states start changing maps for political reasons, Congress or the courts may step in to stop it. That could lead to big changes in how redistricting works nationwide.

And let's be honest — if Texas redraws these maps purely to protect political power, it confirms what many voters already believe: that too many politicians work for their own interests and their biggest donors, not for the people they were elected to serve. This kind of action doesn't strengthen democracy — it weakens it.

Texas should not start a new political battle over maps. Fair districts are supposed to give everyone a voice — not just help one party stay in power. I ask this committee to put fairness and transparency first.

Sincerely,

JeanClaude Holly

Jean Claude Holly