# HEARING AGENDA <br> Senate Finance Committee <br> Senator Steve Ogden, Chairman <br> Wednesday, March 24, 2010, 10:00 A.M. <br> Capitol Extension E1.036 

I. Call to Order
II. Roll Call
III. Committee Business

Review and make recommendations regarding existing and future public debt at all levels of government in Texas, including independent school districts, cities, other local governments and the Texas Guaranteed Tuition Plan.

## A. Invited Testimony

1. Interest-Rate Swaps and their Use by State Entities

University of Texas Investment Management Company (UTIMCO) Bruce Zimmerman, CEO \& Chief Investment Officer
Office of the Attorney General - Jonathan Frels, Deputy Attorney General for Legal Counsel
Bond Review Board - Robert Kline, Executive Director
2. Credit Ratings and Bond Market Access

Texas Public Finance Authority - Dwight Burns, Executive Director Municipal Advisory Council of Texas - Laura Slaughter, Executive Director Standard and Poor's - Alex Fraser, Managing Director

Examine the Texas Tomorrow Fund and its impact on institutions of higher education. Assess current and future costs, the ability of institutions to absorb the costs, and make recommendations for ensuring a sound fiscal approach to managing the fund for the future.
B. Invited Testimony

1. Texas Tomorrow Fund

Comptroller of Public Accounts - Martin Hubert, Deputy Comptroller Higher Education Coordinating Board - Dan Weaver, Assistant Commissioner
C. Public Testimony
IV. Recess/Adjourn

## Comptroller of Public Accounts

Texas Tomorrow Fund Programs
The Prepaid Higher Education Tuition Board oversees four
qualified tuition plans that were created under the authority
of IRC Section 529 to help families prepay tuition or set
aside funds for future college costs.

1. Texas Guaranteed Tuition Plan (TGTP) (1996)
2. Texas Tuition Promise Fund (TTPF) (2008)
3. Texas College Savings Plans (2003)
4. LoneStar 529 Plan (2003)

TGTP 2009 Ac


TGTP 2009 Actuarial Valuation
TGTP Actuarial Update

|  | Contract Refunds (August 24, 2009-January 31, 2010) <br> In August 2009, the Board adopted a rule change to not pay earnings on cancelled contracts. Under the terms of the contract, contract holders had 60 days to cancel their contracts and receive a refund with earnings. This rule change was rescinded in December 2009 and earnings continue to be paid for mature contracts. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Contract Type Count Principal Earnings Total Refund |
| W. |  |
|  | Not Matured 1,270 $\$ 15,778,651$ $\$ 8,647,659$ $\$ 24,426,310$ |
| W20 | totals $\quad 7,434 \quad \$ 60,980,877 \quad \$ 59,728,707 \quad \$ 120,709,585$ |
|  | 8 |

# Higher Education Coordinating Board 

Exemptions and Waivers

Texas currently maintains a variety of programs aimed at exempting or waiving tuition, fees, and other expenses related to attending public colleges and universities. These exemption and waiver programs are targeted to specific populations that the Texas Legislature has identified as warranting special consideration related to paying for higher education costs. Statutes are inconsistent in the use of the terms "exemption" and "waiver", so for the purposes of this overview we have defined an exemption as a program that allows special groups of Texas residents or nonresidents to enroll and pay a reduced amount of tuition and/or fees. A waiver allows special groups of nonresidents to enroll and pay a reduced nonresident tuition rate. We are also limiting the use of these terms to programs whose costs are absorbed by the institutions. ${ }^{1}$

Some programs are large and well known, such as the Hazlewood Exemption which provides an exemption from tuition and some fees for all Texas veterans. Others are relatively obscure and uniquely targeted, such as the Olympic Programs Waiver which waives out-of-state tuition rates for any student attending UT Brownsville or Texas Southmost College while participating in a Community Olympic Development Program or training at a U.S. Olympic training center in Texas.

All exemption and waiver programs are authorized or mandated by the Texas Legislature. Most exemption and waiver programs were designed to reward individuals or their families for services rendered. Others are used to strengthen the basic infrastructure of higher education, in that institutions use them to recruit faculty, research assistants, teaching assistants, and highlyqualified students. Today, Texas has 37 exemption programs and 21 waiver programs. In FY 2008, more than 202,500 students received assistance through these programs, and the amount of tuition and fee revenue foregone by institutions totaled almost $\$ 356$ million in FY 2008 dollars.

[^0]
## Common Questions

## Are all exemption and waiver programs mandated by the Texas Legislature?

Most programs are mandated for all institutions to implement and serve the defined program population. However, some programs are optional - implementation is left to the discretion of the governing boards at each institution. If the institution chooses to offer the program, however, it must serve all eligible students.

Does the Coordinating Board administer exemption and waiver programs?
No. The Coordinating Board performs a variety of tasks for a few programs as prescribed by statute, but exemption and waiver programs are generally administered locally at each institution. ${ }^{2}$

## How do institutions administer the exemption and waiver programs?

While eligibility requirements and benefits are stated in statutes, how the programs are administered varies by institution. The greatest variation exists on campus the programs are administered. Many institutions, for example, administer military related waiver and exemption programs from a Veterans Affairs office. Conversely, some scholarship/academic waiver programs may be handled in the relevant academic department. Still other programs may be administered by the business, admissions, or financial aid offices.

Institutions have, in most cases, local discretion to identify the documentation needed to support a student's claim of eligibility. Once eligibility is determined by the appropriate institutional authority, billing is adjusted accordingly and the student pays the remaining balance of expenses (if any). Complicating administration, statutes usually do not define a deadline for students to prove eligibility. Therefore, institutions may have to revise billing, make refunds, and submit revised reports to the Coordinating Board for students proving eligibility after the census date of an academic semester or year.

## How are exemption and waiver programs funded?

The state does not fund the exemption and waiver programs described in this overview. (See footnote 1, page 1.) Formula funding allocations to institutions do not include any set aside or funding line item to offset tuition and fees lost to exemptions and waivers claimed at an institution. In effect, the institutions must accommodate these losses. Of the $\$ 356$ million in tuition and fees forgone in FY2008, $\$ 272.1$ million was associated with the cost of mandatory exemption and waiver programs, and $\$ 83.5$ million in institutional revenue was lost to optional programs that some institutions elected to administer.

It stands to reason that institutions must accommodate lost revenue. This may include some combination of decreasing costs and identifying additional revenue sources.

[^1]
## How many students participate in exemption and waiver programs?

Since FY 2003, total participation in exemption and waiver programs has increased 62 percent. However, all the growth has occurred in exemption programs. Almost 78,000 more students received exemptions in FY 2008 than in FY 2003. During this period, participation increased mostly in dual enrollment programs, fee exemptions for distance learners, and students receiving exemptions to cover the Texas Tomorrow Fund shortfall.

Meanwhile, overall participation in waiver programs has dropped slightly since FY 2003. Although the number of students receiving optional waivers increased by 55, the number receiving mandatory waivers decreased by 450, for a
 net loss of 395 . Among waiver programs, participation increased most in academic competitive scholarshipbased waivers and in waivers for students from states bordering Texas. The greatest decrease was in waivers for military personnel stationed in Texas, which experienced a decline of more than 2,000 . The Coordinating Board has been advised that the decline in this area is primarily due to increased military deployments since 2003 and competition with private and borderstate institutions.

How do exemption and waiver programs interact with state provisions related to limitations on formula funding for excess hours or dropped courses?
State statutes exclude course hours from an institution's formula funding calculation if the hours are:

- Generated by undergraduates who have already attempted 30 hours beyond their degree plan (TEC 61.0595);
- Generated by graduates who have already have a total of 100 or more semester credit hours of doctoral work (TEC 61.069); and
- Generated by undergraduates who are repeating a course for the third time (Rider 42, Page III-247, General Appropriations Act).

Additionally, statutes (TEC 54.014) authorize institutions to pass the cost of this lost formula funding on to the students by raising the students' tuition rates to an amount as high as the nonresident rate. However, students exempted from the payment of tuition are exempt from the penalty rates, also. In short, only the institutions and not the students are penalized under these provisions if a tuition exemption/waiver is provided for a student that triggers one of these thresholds.

How much institutional revenue is lost because of exemption and waiver programs?
Between FY 2003 and FY 2008 the total value of exemptions and waivers in constant 2003 dollars increased by more than $\$ 105$ million. Mandatory exemptions and waivers account for 65 percent of the total increase. Among exemptions, the greatest dollar increases were in programs for community college dual enrolled students, participants in the Texas Tomorrow Fund (for whom institutions are to exempt the difference between the value of the state's pre-paid tuition plan and actual tuition and fee charges) and for fee exemptions for students enrolled in distance learning. The largest dollar increases for waivers were for competitive scholarship recipients, followed by research and teaching assistants.


Are all exemption programs similarly structured in statute and applied uniformly?
No. As exemption and waiver programs have been added and amended by the Texas Legislature over many decades, there has been limited uniformity in definition, application, or structure for the programs. A clear example of the lack of uniformity is evident in how the programs are distributed throughout Texas code, to include Texas Education Code Chapter 54 (Tuition and Fees) and Chapter 130 (Junior College Districts), as well as the Texas Government Code, Chapter 615 (Financial Assistance to Survivors of Certain Law Enforcement Officers, Fire Fighters, and Others).

More importantly is the disparity and variation in definitions related to eligibility, benefits, academic requirements and residency. For example, some programs limit the total credit hours that will qualify for exemption ( 120,150 or 200 ). Others authorizes exemptions until such time a degree is awarded, while others have no apparent restriction on total hours once the student initially qualifies for the exemption. In terms of eligibility, some programs require financial need while others include some merit components (such as a recommendation from a high school principal). Some require Texas residency as defined in the Texas Education Code, others are silent on residency. The value of awards varies from a single fee or charge to "all dues, fees, and charges whatsoever." And, the terminology is also varied as some programs refer to exempting "mandatory fees," while others reference only "fees," and still others use the term "tuition fees."

## Additional Exemption and Waiver Program Data

Total Program Costs (Mandatory \& Optional), FY 2003 and FY 2008
(Constant 2003 Dollars)

|  | FY 2003 |  | FY 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exemptions |  |  |  |  |
| Public Universities |  |  | 58,488 | \$58,107,224 |
| Public Health Related | 841 | 633,227 | 2,604 | 1,369,406 |
| Public Community Colleges | 46,021 | 16,317,797 | 86,875 | 39,367,164 |
| Public State Colleges | 193 | 264,621 | 6,196 | 1,713,547 |
| Public Technical Colleges | 2,287 | 649,881 | 1,586 | 752,305 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Waivers |  |  |  |  |
| Public Universities | 33,747 | \$139,719,140 | 33,399 | \$177,151,582 |
| Public Health Related | 1,534 | 8,302,693 | 2,435 | 11,854,198 |
| Public Community Colleges | 11,513 | 9,718,031 | 10,534 | 7,444,256 |
| Public State Colleges | 46 | 101,284 | 33 | 52,565 |
| Public Technical Colleges | 432 | 1,133,098 | 476 | 1,842,081 |
|  |  | 3Rpmemesm | Taw | Qrexemer |

Mandatory Program Statistics, FY 2003 and FY 2008
(Constant 2003 Dollars)

|  | FY 2003 |  | FY 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exemptions | - Awarad Reciplehts | E0gone Revente \$10,601,802 | WWad |  |
| Public Universities | 5,942 |  | 18,646 | \$35,020,231 |
| Public Health Related | 226 | 520,079 | 284 | 837,470 |
| Public Community Colleges | 10,215 | 6,358,876 | 17,098 | 12,779,529 |
| Public State Colleges | 182 | 258,927 | 264 | 345,016 |
| Public Technical Colleges | 384 | 177,000 | 375 | 367,894 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Waivers |  |  |  |  |
| Public Universities | 30,460 | \$125,009,378 | 30,463 | \$162,021,304 |
| Public Health Related | 1,512 | 8,219,946 | 2,364 | 11,460,929 |
| Public Community Colleges | 9,295 | 8,878,828 | 7,997 | 6,391,135 |
| Public State Colleges | 30 | 69,481 | 21 | 30,955 |
| Public Technical Colleges | 1 | 4,578 | 3 | 13,232 |
| When Amhuthrions |  |  |  |  |

## Optional Program Statistics, FY 2003 and 2008

(Constant 2003 Dollars)

|  | FY 2003 |  | FY 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exemptions |  | $\qquad$ | AWhat Recipients | Forgete Revelte |
| Public Universities | 22,472 | \$6,237,095 | 39,842 | \$23,086,992 |
| Public Health Related | 615 | 113,148 | 2,320 | 531,936 |
| Public Community Colleges | 35,806 | 9,958,921 | 69,777 | 26,587,635 |
| Public State Colleges | 11 | 5,694 | 5,932 | 1,368,531 |
| Public Technical Colleges | 1,903 | 472,881 | 1,211 | 384,411 |
|  |  | 416marymer |  | 84tumprat |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Waivers |  |  |  |  |
| Public Universities | 3,287 | \$14,709,762 | 2,936 | \$15,130,278 |
| Public Health Related | 22 | 82,747 | 71 | 393,269 |
| Public Community Colleges | 2,218 | 839,203 | 2,537 | 1,053,121 |
| Public State Colleges | 16 | 31,803 | 12 | 21,610 |
| Public Technical Colleges | 431 | 1,128,520 | 473 | 1,828,849 |
|  |  | Wextreehnsed | 6rese |  |

Sources: FY 2003 and FY 2008 Integrated Fiscal Reporting System reports.

## Resources

College for All Texans: www.collegeforalltexans.com
Exemption Programs: http://www.collegeforalltexans.com/apps/financialaid/tofa.cfm?Kind=E Waiver Programs: http://www.collegeforalltexans.com/apps/financialaid/tofa.cfm?Kind=W

O
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Texas Tomorrow Fund Waiver: TEC 54.621 ( $c$ ) as originally adopted, read, "A beneficiary is considered a resident for purposes of tuition regardless Texas Tomorrow Fund of the beneficiary's residence on the date of enrollment." Current wording is, "Notwithstnding any provision of Subchapter B, the tuition and required fees charged by an institution of higher education for semester hours and fees that are paid for by a prepaid tuition contract shall be purchaser enters into the contract.



O
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March 22, 2010

Steve Ogden, Chairman<br>Senate Finance Committee<br>1400 Congress Ave.<br>Room E1. 038<br>Austin, TX 78701

## Dear Chairman Ogden:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide information regarding the impact of the Texas Tomorrow Funds I and II, the state's prepaid tuition plans. For fiscal year 2009, The University of Texas at Arlington had $\$ 314,239$ in tuition exemptions and $\$ 16,579$ in waivers of non-resident tuition for Texas Tomorrow Fund I recipients. The Texas Tomorrow Fund portion of tuition exemptions and waivers represents $15 \%$ of the almost \$2.2 million in total tuition exemptions and waivers for UT Arlington in FY 2009.

While the University recognizes the benefit of tuition exemptions and waivers to the student, it results in a significant decrease in revenue to the University. The University must choose either to reduce its budget by the amount of foregone tuition, or find other funding sources to maintain instruction, instructional support, and student services, at the level required to provide the support necessary to ensure that our students are academically successful.

While we understand that prepaid tuition contracts offer benefits to those students and their families who can afford to purchase the contracts, we strongly urge you to consider the financial and budgetary implications to the universities as a result of the foregone tuition and fees that result from this and other state-mandated tuition exemption and waiver programs.


Via Hand Delivery

March 22, 2010

The Honorable Steve Ogden
Chairman, Senate Finance Committee
Texas Capitol
Agency Code: 101
Dear Chairman Ogden:
Thank you for the opportunity to provide information on the impact of the Texas Tomorrow Fund (TTF) 1 and 2 on The University of Texas at Austin to the Senate Finance Committee.

These programs were created to help encourage parents and families to focus on financing higher education for their children, and to that end, we have seen TTF 1 as a successful program. The downside, on an institutional basis, is the shortfall which is absorbed by our institution.

Attached is a chart which illustrates the historical and projected costs of the TTF 1 for UT Austin. The difference between the tuition billed to the TTF and how much the TTF paid is the "exemption," and is the amount absorbed by the University which is listed as shortfall in income. The "waived" amount is the difference between in-state and out-of-state tuition rate. Nonresidents who paid into the fund are entitled to pay resident rates. As you will see, the shortfall in income has been fairly constant the past several years ( $\$ 6-7$ million), and the shortfall is anticipated to steadily increase until peaking in the year 2014-2015.

The information provided to the committee shows that $50 \%$ of the plans are choosing UT Austin and/or Texas A\&M. Over $81 \%$ of the participants are choosing four universities (Texas A\&M University, Texas State University-San Marcos, Texas Tech University and UT Austin).

With respect to the new TTF 2 program, the University is not able to project the costs of this program to UT Austin. TTF 2 is a more complicated program so it is unknown how popular it will be with parents and families. Also, the current financial climate also lends uncertainty to any estimates for this program. We anticipate that additional staff and programming support may be needed to administer this program.

# The Honorable Steve Ogden 

March 22, 2010
Page 2

Once again we appreciate the opportunity to provide the committee with information on these programs, and if you should have any follow-up questions, the University will be glad to assist.


Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Attachment
c: Members of the Senate Finance Committee
Mr. William Powers, Jr., President
Ms. Gwen Grisby, Associate Vice President, Governmental Relations

HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED COSTS OF THE TEXAS GUARANTEED TUITION PLAN (TEXAS TOMORROW FUND) FOR THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTTIN

|  | Yearly |  | Cumulative |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Academic Year | Waived | Exempted | Waived | Exempted |
| Historical Costs |  |  |  | 0 |
| $1998-1999$ | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 |
| $1999-2000$ | 20,000 | 0 | 30,000 | 0 |
| $2000-2001$ | 10,000 | 0 | 40,000 | 0 |
| $2001-2002$ | 40,000 | 0 | 0,000 | 0 |
| $2002-2003$ | 50,000 | 0 | 130,000 | $1,770,000$ |
| $2003-2004$ | 60,000 | $1,770,000$ | 190,000 | $1,750,000$ |
| $2004-2005$ | 70,000 | $2,980,000$ | 260,000 | $4,750,000$ |
| $2005-2006$ | 90,000 | $5,400,000$ | 350,000 | $10,150,00$ |
| $2006-2007$ | 170,000 | $6,760,000$ | 520,000 | $16,910,000$ |
| $2007-2008$ | 280,000 | $6,700,000$ | 800,000 | $23,610,000$ |
| $2008-2009$ | 290,000 | $6,950,000$ | $1,090,000$ | $30,560,000$ |
| Projected Costs |  |  |  |  |
| $2009-2010$ | 510,000 | $7,360,000$ | $1,600,000$ | $37,920,000$ |
| $2010-2011$ | 460,000 | $7,930,000$ | $2,060,000$ | $45,850,000$ |
| $2011-2012$ | 510,000 | $8,050,000$ | $2,570,000$ | $53,900,000$ |
| $2012-2013$ | 500,000 | $8,210,000$ | $3,070,000$ | $62,110,000$ |
| $2013-2014$ | 520,000 | $8,510,000$ | $3,590,000$ | $70,620,000$ |
| $2014-2015$ | 520,000 | $8,710,000$ | $4,110,000$ | $79,330,000$ |
| $2015-2016$ | 520,000 | $8,620,000$ | $4,630,000$ | $87,950,000$ |
| $2016-2017$ | 510,000 | $8,400,000$ | $5,140,000$ | $96,350,000$ |
| $2017-2018$ | 480,000 | $8,000,000$ | $5,620,000$ | $104,350,000$ |
| $2018-2019$ | 470,000 | $7,570,000$ | $6,090,000$ | $111,920,000$ |
| $2019-2020$ | 430,000 | $7,240,000$ | $6,520,000$ | $119,160,000$ |
| $2020-2021$ | 420,000 | $6,690,000$ | $6,940,000$ | $125,850,000$ |
| $2021-2022$ | 400,000 | $6,550,000$ | $7,340,000$ | $132,400,000$ |
| $2022-2023$ | 290,000 | $4,830,000$ | $7,630,000$ | $137,230,000$ |
| $2023-2024$ | 200,000 | $3,210,000$ | $7,830,000$ | $140,440,000$ |
| $2024-2025$ | 100,000 | $1,870,000$ | $7,930,000$ | $142,310,000$ |
| $2025-2026$ | 40,000 | 310,000 | $7,970,000$ | $142,620,000$ |
| $2026-2027$ | 0 | 10,000 | $7,970,000$ | $142,630,000$ |
| $2027-2028$ |  | 0 | 0 | $7,970,000$ |
|  | $142,630,000$ |  |  |  |

NOTE: For the 2005-06 IFRS report a programming error assigned some of the exempted amount to the waived amount. IFRS shows $\$ 461,313$ waived; the correct amount is $\$ 93,947$. The difference of $\$ 367,366$ was added to the IFRS exempted amount of $\$ 5,027,958$ for a total of $\$ 5,395,324$. The above table reflects the corrected amounts.

WAIVED: The difference between the in-state and out-of-state tuition rate. Nonresidents who paid into the fund are entitled to pay resident rates.

EXEMPTED: The difference between the tuition billed to the TGTP and how much the TGTP paid. The difference is absorbed by the university as a shortfall in income.

These data refer to the Texas Guaranteed Tuition Plan shortfall and waived tuition. They make no comment on any possible shortfall or waived tuition related to the Promise Fund, the second version of the Texas Tomorrow Fund.

THE UNIVERSITY OFTEXAS AT DALLAS

March 22, 2010

The Honorable Steve Ogden
Chairman
Senate Finance Committee
P.O. Box 12068

Austin, Texas 78711

## Dear Chairman Ogden:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments regarding the impact of the Texas Tomorrow Fund on The University of Texas at Dallas. Since its inception, the Texas Tomorrow Fund has been an important program for Texans. Our experience has been that families of UT Dallas students like the program. The program has assisted UT Dallas, to some extent, in reaching the goals established by Closing the Gaps.

The University incurs expenses, which are increasing, in sustaining the program. Since FY 2005, UT Dallas has experienced cost increases of approximately $300 \%$ for the first Texas Tomorrow Fund program. Program expenses were approximately $\$ 215,000$ in FY 2005 and are estimated to reach nearly $\$ 900,000$ in FY 2010. Should increases continue over the next six year period at similar rates, UT Dallas would have to cover new expenses exceeding an estimated $\$ 2.8$ million in FY 2016. It is too early to estimate the costs to UT Dallas for the new Texas Tomorrow Fund program.

If the University can provide additional comments on this issue, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for your continued commitment to providing opportunities for all Texans.


David E. Daniel
President
DED/js
es

The University of Texas at San Antonio
Ollee of Thanclal Services and University Bursar

March 22.2010

## Senate Finance committee

1400 congress Avenue; Riom E1.038
Austin TE 78701
RE Chpact of Texas Tomorrow fund on The University of Texas at San Antonio
As indicated on the atached spreadsheet, durns the past five years the Unversty of Texas at Sin Antonlo (USAA Lis exempled or wallea almost $\$ 1,8$ Million dollars in suppertor the Texas Tomortow Fund

पisa has one of the fastestgrowing student populations in fexas and as such has had a steady incease in Texas Tomorrow Fund partidpants. The increase in the amount UTSA has subsidized for thls program
 Polectedexpenses for P withatleassequal we prior fiscal yearand are expected to exceed \$64800

Theseanual expenses to support the texas Tomorrow Fund areadrainon instifutional resources that migh bebetter applied to support studen sevices or other needs at utsA.
place letusknow you have anv questons or need doditional fiformations
sincerelys


|  | rex.as <br> IOMORTOW Find Amount Naved | yexas <br> Tomontw Fund Exemptions | Hestas <br> FOMOMOW Fund Amount Exenpted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - - | 30 | - |
| 0 | - | 24 | 13,002.00 |
| 0 | - - | 21 | 17,766.00 |
| 0 | - | 6 | 6,551.00 |
| 0 | - | 149 | 127,947.00 |
| 0 | - | 1 | 2,808.00 |
| 1 | 18.00 | 0 | - |
| 0 | - | 25 | 2,040.00 |
| 23 | 76,918.00 | 1938 | 1,800,564.00 |
| 0 | - - | 69 | - |
| 3 | 19,050.00 | 3 | 104.00 |
| 2 | 8,372.00 | 0 | - |
| 0 | - - | 1142 | 1,100,174.00 |
| 2 | 9,130,00 | 0 | - |
| 9 | 71,142.00 | 2112 | 2,983,333.00 |
| 0 | - - | 0 | 215,571.00 |
| 2 | 11,094.00 | 0 | - - |
| 0 | - - | 62 | 193,432.00 |
| 0 | $\square-$ | 2 | 14,506.00 |
| 0 | 1 | 5 | 41,004.00 |
| 42 | 195,724.00 | 5589 | 6,518,802.00 |


|  |  | Instieton |  | Jexas <br> TQnerrow Fund Vavers | rexus <br> Tomornow furd Amount Waived | Tomoriow Fund Exemptions | Texas $10110 n 01$ <br> Fund Amount Exempted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | Central Texas College | 004003 | 0 | - | 22 |  |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | Grayson County College | 003570 | 0 | - | 11 | 7,720.00 |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | Howard County Junior College | 003574 | 0 | - | 31 | 27,048.00 |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | Laredo Community College | 003582 | 0 | - | 29 | 26,434.00 |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | Northeast Texas Community College | 023154 | 0 | - | 12 | 13,922.00 |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | San Jacinto Community College District | 003609 | 0 | - | 134 | 138,536.00 |
| 2006 | Community Colleges | Tarrant County College District | 003626 | 0 | - | 1 | 1,122.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | Angelo State University | 003541 | 2 | 50.00 | 0 |  |
| 2006 | Public University | Sam Houston State University | 003606 | 0 | - | 52 | 4,894.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | Stephen F. Austin State University | 003624 | 0 | - | 10 | 158.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | Texas A\&M University | 010366 | 17 | 146,556.00 | 2293 | 2,805,728.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | Texas A\&M University-Corpus Christi | 011161 | 2 | 13,470.00 | 1 | 21.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | Texas A\&M University-Galveston Campus | 010298 | 3 | 30,636.00 | 0 |  |
| 2006 | Public University | Texas State University-San Marcos | 003615 | 0 | - | 825 | 101,092.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | Texas Tech University | 003644 | 0 | - | 1292 | 1,098,019.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | The University of Texas at Arlington | 003656 | 3 | 8,556.00 | 0 |  |
| 2006 | Public University | The University of Texas at Austin | 003658 | 14 | 461,313.00 | 2376 | 5,027,958.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | The University of Texas at Dallas | 009741 | 0 | - - | 229 | 379,656.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | The University of Texas at San Antonio | 010115 | 2 | 20,172.00 | 591 | 227,727.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | The University of Texas at Tyler | 011163 | 0 | - | 77 | 251,199.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | University of Houston | 003652 | 0 | - - | 466 | 173,719.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | University Of Houston-Clear Lake | 011711 | 0 | - - | 7 | 563.00 |
| 2006 | Public University | University of North Texas | 003594 | 0 | - - | 5 | 43,358.00 |
|  |  |  |  | 43 | 680,753.00 | 8464 | 10,328,874.00 |


|  | hastution |  | TEXas <br> TOMOTIOW Etnd Wavers | $18 \times 5$ <br> TOMETCN Fund Amount Waived |  <br> 1010 m 10 S Fund Exemptions | Texas TOD10 101 Func Amount Exenpted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Colleges | Blinn College | 003549 | 4 | 6,536.00 | 0 | - |
| Community Colleges | Central Texas College | 004003 | 30 | - - | 0 | - |
| Community Colleges | Collin County Community College | 023614 | 1 | 543.00 | 0 |  |
| Community Colleges | Dallas County Community College District | 009331 | 2 | 1,846.00 | 0 |  |
| Community Colleges | Frank Phillips College | 003568 | 0 | - | 6 | 13,696.00 |
| Community Colleges | Grayson County College | 003570 | 0 | - | 28 | 25,978.00 |
| Community Colleges | Howard County Junior College | 003574 | 0 | - | 22 | 19,554,00 |
| Community Colleges | Kilgore College | 003580 | 0 | - | 45 | 63,086.00 |
| Community Colleges | Laredo Community College | 003582 | 0 | - | 28 | 21,580,00 |
| Community Colleges | McLennan Community College | 003590 | 1 | 360.00 | 0 |  |
| Community Colleges | Northeast Texas Community College | 023154 | 0 | - | 14 | 22,190.00 |
| Community Colleges | San Jacinto Community College District | 003609 | 0 | - - | 106 | 137,704.00 |
| Public University | Angelo State University | 003541 | 2 | 8,530.00 | 0 | - - - |
| Public University | Sam Houston State University | 003606 | 0 | - - | 23 | 2,880.00 |
| Public University | Stephen F. Austin State University | 003624 | 0 | - | 9 | 191.00 |
| Public University | Texas A\&M University | 010366 | 27 | 201,545.00 | 2623 | 3,272,278.00 |
| Public University | Texas A\&M University | 011161 | 4 | 6,337,00 | 7 | 16.00 |
| Public University Public University |  |  | 1 | 9,350,00 | 0 |  |
| Public University | Texas A\&M University-Galveston Campus | $\frac{010298}{003642}$ | 1 |  | 4 | 11,485.00 |
| Public University | Texas Southern University | 003642 | 0 |  | 838 |  |
| Public University | Texas State University-San Marcos | 003615 | 0 |  | 838 | 76,803.00 |
| Public University | Texas Tech University | 003644 | 0 | 0 - | 3 | - |
| Public University | The University of Texas at Arlington | 003656 | 8 | 29,150.00 | - 0 |  |
| Public University | The University of Texas at Austin | 003658 | - 16 | 170,044.00 | ) 2645 | 6,764,382.00 |
| Public University | The University of Texas at Brownsville | 030646 | 0 |  | 3 | 3 136.00 |
| Public University | The University of Texas at Dallas | 009741 | 0 | (1) | 354 | 4 413,680.00 |
| Public University |  | 010115 | 2 | 6,600,00 | 738 | 385,915.00 |
| Public University | The University of Texas at San Antonio | $\frac{010115}{011163}$ | 3 | 1 0,000.00 | - 100 |  |
| Public University | The University of Texas at Tyler | 011163 | - 0 | 0 | 100 | $5 \mathrm{l} \frac{376,623.00}{211.04900}$ |
| Public University | University of Houston | 003652 | 0 | 0 | 445 | 5 211,049.00 |
| Public University | University of North Texas | 003594 | 4 - 0 | O | 6 | 42,120.00 |


|  | Descidetion | Insitution |  | vexas Tomorrow Fund Wavers | Tomoriow Uund Amount Waved | Tomorow <br> Fund <br> Exemptions | rexas Tomorrow <br> Fund Amount Exempted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Austin Community College | 012015 | -1 | 2,670.00 | 0 |  |
| \|2008 2008 | Community Colleges | Blinn College | 003549 | 5 | 5,548.00 | 0 |  |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Dallas County Community College District | 009331 | 1 | 532.00 | 0 | - - |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Grayson County College | 003570 | 0 | - | 21 | 18,964.00 |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Houston Community College System | 010633 | 2 | 2,760.00 | 0 | - - |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Howard County Junior College | 003574 | 0 | - | 24 | 20,052.00 |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Laredo Community College | 003582 | 0 | - | 32 | 32,651.00 |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | Northeast Texas Community College | 023154 | 0 |  | 17 | 28,075.00 |
| 2008 | Community Colleges | San Jacinto Community College District | 003609 | 0 | - | 157 | 151,995.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | Angelo State University | 003541 | 5 | 12,558.00 | 0 |  |
| 2008 | Public University | Midwestern State University | 003592 | 1 | 450.00 | 0 | - 789 |
| 2008 | Public University | Sam Houston State University | 003606 | 0 | - | 35 | 2,789.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | Stephen F. Austin State University | 003624 | 0 | - - | 3728 | 2629,06.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | Texas A\&M University | 010366 | 25 | 194,738.00 | 2728 | 3,629,236.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | Texas A\&M University-Corpus Christi | 011161 | 1 | 10,286.00 | 1 |  |
| 2008 | Public University | Texas A\&M University-Galveston Campus | 010298 | 1 | 8,340.00 | 14 | 895.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | Texas State University-San Marcos | 003615 | 0 | - | 1443 | 32,389.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | Texas Tech University | 003644 | 0 |  | 174 | 1,136,376.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | The University of Texas at Arlington | 003656 | - 2 | 10,842.00 | 284 | 6,69817900 |
| 2008 | Public University | The University of Texas at Austin | 003658 | 18 | 282,369.00 | 2841 | 6,698,179.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | The University of Texas at Brownsville | 030646 | 0 |  | 282 | 648,186.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | The University of Texas at Dallas | 009741 | - 0 |  | 282 | 505,844.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | The University of Texas at San Antonio | 010115 | - 2 | 17,514.00 |  | 533,623.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | The University of Texas at Tyler | 011163 | 0 |  | 135 | 363,623.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | University of North Texas | 003594 | - 0 | - - | 6 | 46,248.00 |
| 2008 | Public University | West Texas A\&M University | 003665 | - 1 | 8,062.00 |  |  |

The Honorable Steve Ogden, Chairman
Senate Finance Committee
P. O. Box 12068

Austin, TX 78711-2068

## Dear Chairman Ogden,

Thank you for the opportunity to share the attached projections regarding the financial impact the Texas Tomorrow Fund is having on our institutions. The attached document reflects the current and projected exemptions to be absorbed by each of our institutions as a result of the shortfall in funding provided by the Texas Tomorrow Fund. It is anticipated that the amount each institution will have to absorb will continue to increase each year. We look forward to working with the committee to address this issue moving forward and appreciate the committee's interest in this matter.


JD /dit
Attachment

cc: Members, Senate Finance Committee<br>Dr. Stanton Calvert

## THE TEXAS A\&M UNIVERSITY SYSTEM Senate Finance Committee - Interim Charge \#5 <br> Texas Tomorrow Fund

| Member |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } 10 \\ \text { Foregone } \\ \text { Tuition/Fees } \end{gathered}$ | FY 11 <br> Foregone Tuition/Fees | FY 12 <br> Foregone Tuition/Fees | FY 13 <br> Foregone <br> Tuition/Fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Headcount | Foregone Tuition/Fees |  |  |  |  |
| PVAMU |  | \$ | \$ | \$ |  |  |
| Tarleton |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| TAMIU |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| TAMU | 2,949 | \$ 4,508,523 | \$ 5,300,000 | \$ 5,900,000 | \$ 7,100,000 | \$ 8,500,000 |
| TAMUG | 1 | \$ 4,420 | \$ 5,200 | \$ 5,800 | \$ 7,000 | \$ 8,400 |
| TAMUCT |  | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ |
| TAMUC |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| TAMUCC | 56 | \$ 22,645 | \$ 41,000 | \$ 51,000 | \$ 64,000 | \$ 80,000 |
| TAMUK |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| TAMUSA |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ - | \$ |
| TAMUT |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ - |
| WTAMU |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| TAMHSC ${ }^{1}$ | 3 | \$ 4,569 | \$ 4,800 | \$ 5,100 | \$ 5,400 | \$ 5,800 |
| TOTAL | 3,009 | S 4,540,157 | \$ 5,351,000 | \$ 5,961,900 | \$ 7,176,400 | S 8,594,200 |

Note:
Foregone Tuition/Fees - is the difference between the amount received (per student) from the TX Tomorrow Fund and the actual tuition and mandatory fees at each respective institution.
${ }^{1}$ Includes only two undergraduate programs (Nursing and Dental Hygiene). Currently, the Dental Hygiene costs are below the Texas Tomorrow rate, therefore nothing has to be waived.

# University of houston system University of houston 

RENU KHATOR
Chancellor and President
March 22, 2010

The Honorable Steve Ogden
Chair, Senate Finance Committee
The Capitol Building, Room E1. 038
Austin, TX 78701
VIA Hand Delivery

## Dear Chairman Ogden:

Thank you for your inquiry regarding the impact of the Texas Tomorrow Program.
Within our UH System of four institutions, the University of Houston is the only one experiencing a significant impact. In actual dollars, forgone revenue has increased from $\$ 173,719$ to $\$ 291,491$ over the last four years.

|  | Students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Forgone |  |  |
| FY2006 | 466 |  |
| Revenue |  |  |

As the University of Houston increasingly becomes an institution of first choice for more students, we see this gap widening. This forgone revenue constitutes essential funds that would have covered items such as faculty salaries, library, instructional administration, research, and student services.

The Honorable Steve Ogden
March 22, 2010

## Page 2

Student access and affordability are top priorities for the UH System and the Texas Tomorrow Fund is one avenue that Texas families have used to provide a college education to their sons and daughters. As an additional support, UH has implemented two programs to ensure that students have the financial support they need to complete their degrees. The Cougar Promise now covers tuition and fees of students whose families earn less than $\$ 45,000$ per year. And through The Cougar Pledge any student who agrees to complete 30 hours a year for four years will save $\$ 3,000$ overall in tuition.

With warm regards,

Tenu khaton
Renu Khator

# TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY SYSTEM 

Jim Brunjes<br>Vice Chancellor and Chief Pinancial Officer

March 22, 2010

Honorable Steve Ogden
Chair, Senate Finance Committee
P. O. Box 12068

Austin, TX 78711
Submitted electronically

## RE: Interim Charge \#5-Texas Tomorrow Fund

## Chairman Ogden and Members of the Senate Finance Committee:

The Texas Tomorrow Fund contracts have been significant tools that families have used to assist in financing students' access to higher education in Texas. We appreciate the opportunity to provide your committee information regarding the impact of these contracts on the institutions of the Texas Tech University System.

For Texas Tech University (TTU) and Angelo State University (ASU), the fiscal impact of the Texas Tomorrow Fund contracts has been different.

Texas Education Code, Section 54.624, requires the institution "to accept as payment in full of the beneficiary's tuition and required fees the lesser of:
(1) the amount of tuition and required fees charged by the institution; or
(2) an amount paid by the board under the contract equal to the weighted average amount of tuition and required fees of all public senior colleges and universities for that semester or other academic period as determined by the board."

For at least the last 5 years, TTU's tuition and mandatory fees have exceeded the amount paid by the Tomorrow Fund contract; therefore, the unlversity has forgone revenue which would otherwise have been collected if not for the statute stating the amount of the contract is to be considered the full payment.
From fiscal year 2005 through fiscal year 2008, the number of contracts per year ranged from a low of 1142 to a high of 1741 with uncollected revenue amounts equal to an average of $\$ 1.1$ million per year. In FY 2009, there were 1401 contracts which resulted in $\$ 824,869$ of uncollected revenue. The decline in uncollected revenue for this one year was a result of approximately 300 fewer contracts for this year. Yet, because TTU did not increase tultion and fees for the year while the amount paid by the Tomorrow Fund contract increased, the amount funded per contract actually increased. For Fall 2009, the number of contracts has increased to 1660, an 18.5\% increase, so it is anticipated that the forgone revenue for fiscal year 2010 will also increase.

These uncollectable revenue amounts are considered by the mandatory fee advisory committees at TTU during annual reviews of the needed fee amounts to cover the costs of providing the student services for the upcoming academic year. For these student services, the forgone revenue is factored into the fee amounts charged to the other students for the services.

ASU's tuition and mandatory fees, on the other hand, have been below the state average, so the amounts paid by the Tomorrow Fund contracts have been sufficient to cover the full amount of tuition and mandatory fees with one notable exception.

Some of the students enrolled at ASU with Tomorrow Fund contracts have been nonresident students which the statute requires the university to waive the nonresident tuition rate and allows only the collection of the resident tuition rate. Texas Education Code, Section 54.621(c) states "Notwithstanding any provision of Subchapter B, the tuition and required fees charged by the institution of higher education for semester hours and fees that are paid for by a prepaid tuition contract shall be determined as if the beneficiary of that contract were a resident student."

The number of contracts per year for ASU students has generally been 2 with forgone revenue due to the waiver of the nonresident tuition rate has ranged from $\$ 50$ to $\$ 12,558$. In Fiscal Year 2008, there were a unique number of 5 contracts that resulted in forgone revenue of $\$ 12,558$. The waived nonresident tuition amounts were considered in the General Appropriations Act in the calculation of the estimated "educational and general revenue-General Revenue Dedicated" for the annual appropriations for ASU. Therefore, this waived amount was partially reimbursed.

Thank you for allowing us to comment on the impact of the Texas Tomorrow Fund. If you need additional information or have questions, we stand ready to furnish that information.

Sincerely,
xc: Chancellor Kent Hance
President Guy Bailey
President Joseph Rallo

Lee F. Jackson, Chancellor

University of North Texas
Health Science Center at Fort Worth

University of North Texas Dallas Campus

The Honorable Steve Ogden
P.O. Box 12068

Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711
March 22, 2010

## Dear Chairman Ogden:

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the Texas Tomorrow Fund's impact on the University of North Texas. The original pathway to college savings created by the Texas Tomorrow Fund (TTF I) has been helpful for students, families, and the state. The fund provided a useful financing option and induced Texas families to greater planning and prioritization for the college education of their children. As a result, TFI 1 has supported increased college going rates in Texas over the past years but the new Texas Guaranteed Tuition Plan (TTF II) will be more complex for both universities and families. It remains to be seen if it will also boost college savings and college enrollments to the same degree.

Currently, the cost to UNT of TTF I, after the guarantee of state funds, is approximately $\$ 500,000$ per year. Through 2009-2010, UNT will serve approximately 1,000 TTF I students. These students will receive approximately $\$ 6$ million in funds, of which approximately $\$ 5.5$ million will be paid by TTF and $\$ 500,000$ paid by UNT.

The complexities of Texas' multiple guaranteed tuition programs require additional overhead for institutions of higher education, and those costs will increase with TTF II. At UNT, TTF I requires approximately one full-time equivalent employee (FTE) for the 1,000 students participating each year. TTF II will necessitate additional staff training, computer programming set-up, and support. We do not yet know exactly how many FTEs will be required to manage both TTF I and TTF II.

Unlike TTF I, which has fairly simple and homogenous funding amounts and processes, the TTF II will have significantly increased variations, and the payouts will be unique to each individual student. Because TTF I will continue for more than a decade and contract executions from TTF II will begin soon, overhead costs and complexities will increase as both programs will be operating at the same time.

Again, I appreciate the opportunity to discuss how TTF I and TTF II impact the University of North Texas. Please do not hesitate to contact me or my staff directly, should you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,


Lee F. Jackson

Chancellor

# The Texas State University System <br> Founded 1911 
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March 19, 2010
The Honorable Steve Ogden
Chairman, Senate Finance
Texas Senate, District 5
PO Box 12068, Capital Station
Austin, Texas 78711
Dear Chairman Ogden and members of the Senate Finance Committee:
Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the impact of Texas Tomorrow Fund participants on tuition and fee revenue levels at institutions of the Texas State University System.

Availability of the Texas Tomorrow Fund (TTF) for families of Texas students is an important option for access to Texas public higher education. As the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board FY 2009 figures show, the incidence of TTF participants varies widely across the State. According to their report, over half of Texas' public senior level institutions had no TTF participants in FY 2009. In fact, $83 \%$ of TTF recipients were enrolled in only four institutions while the weighted average of tuition and fee costs is based on rates at all of Texas' public senior level institutions.

Two of the Texas State University System's institutions, Sam Houston State University and Texas State University-San Marcos are included in the Coordinating Board's FY 2005 - FY 2009 report as recipients of Texas Tomorrow Fund proceeds. In FY 2009, forgone tuition in fees totaled $\$ 5,212$ for 70 recipients at Sam Houston and $\$ 541,951$ for 2,152 recipients at Texas State. The tuition impact will not cause significant harm to those two universities. However, any change in revenues or expenditures on the order of a half million dollars is a concern. Other institutions in the Texas State University System had few or no Texas Tomorrow Fund recipients.

We fully support the importance of the Texas Tomorrow Fund and recommend the following:

1. Future versions of the Fund exclude the tuition and fee cost calculation rates of institutions enrolling no Texas Tomorrow Fund recipients from the weighted average.
2. The weights of those institutions included be based on actual enrollments at the institutions.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to respond on this important matter:
Sincerely,
 Interim Chancellor


Office of the President
P.O. Box 6078, SFA Station - Nacogdoches, Texas 75962-6078

Phone (936) 468-2201 - Fax (936) 468-2202

March 22, 2010

The Honorable Steve Ogden, Chairman
Senate Finance Committee
1400 Congress Avenue, Room E1.038
Austin, TX 78701

## Dear Chairman Ogden:

Stephen F. Austin State University has minimal costs related to the Texas Tomorrow Fund Program. Our total fiscal year 2009 costs were slightly over $\$ 9,800$. There were 232 unduplicated program participants during fiscal year 2009.

On February 26, 2010, the SFA Board of Regents approved a $3.95 \%$ increase in total tuition and fees for fiscal year 2011. We do not anticipate a material financial impact on SFA in regard to the Texas Tomorrow Fund program.

Please let me know if you need additional information.
Sincerely,


Office of the Chancellor and President
P.O. Box 425587, Denton, IX 76204-5587

940-898-320i Fax 940-898-3216

March 22, 2010

The Honorable Steve Ogden
Chair, Senate Finance Committee
P.O. Box 12068 Capitol Station

Austin, TX 78711

RE: Texas Tomorrow Fund: Impact on Texas Woman's University

## Dear Senator Ogden:

In response to your request for information on the impact of the Texas Tomorrow Fund on institutions of higher education, Texas Woman's University (TWU) provides the following:

- The amount TWU has expended over the last 5 years is $\$ 4,721.36$ (as shown in the attached chart),
- TWU has not reported this as a waiver or exemption in the Integrated Fiscal Reporting System (IFRS) which is administered by the Coordinating Board, it has been treated as a write-off (amount billed, but uncollectable),
- The TIFund reimburses TWU at a rate that is calculated each semester to equal the average rate for tuition and required fees among all 35 public institutions and our rate is close to the average.

I you need additional clarification, Mr. Kelly McCullar, TWU Controller, is available and can be reached at the following number: (940) 898-3534.


Cc: Dr. Brenda Floyd, Vice President for Finance and Administration Mr. Kelly McCullar, Associate Vice President and Controller

## Texas Woman's University

| TWU Student AR Writeonis for Toxa Yomorrow Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arinutices 10 | INT DESC | MVIDITE | WW TEEM | NV DUE DATE | CHGMM | CRAMI | New Wrimot |
| $214595 \%$ | Wrie Of Aved drame | 12105 | WFA | 117105 | 000 | 53.2 | 53. |
| 2115988 | Writ Of Ave Paimes | 0330106 | O6SP | 030406 | 0.0 | 29.00 | 29.80 |
| 39590 | Para Oin ine Baxaces | 0585006 | Obam | ch3ade | 100 | 137 | 13.77 |
| 236650 | Fimite Of An \%icas | 000105 | 0852 | Ospatioc | 0.00 | 54.68 | SLAO |
| 2346859 |  | 20006 | 0651 | व30006 | 0.00 | 6.51 | ¢ 6.5 |
| 2408728 |  | 110106 | ${ }^{6} 6$ FA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11/0:065 | 23207 | 0.00 | [23077 |
| 26076 | Whinoff Ane ing | 110306 | 086 Fa | 1110306 | 000 | 260 威 | 200. |
| 2 x 5800 |  | O34207 | 0785 | 033207 | 000 | 674 | 67.45 |
| 2598623 | Whe Ane Blily | 077607 | 0751 | 0711607 | 0.00 | 046 | 0.9 |
| 2225137 | Wame Of Ave Bing | 081507 | 07152 | C81507 | 0.00 | 3.74 | 3.74 |
| 2871216 | Wint Off Ave Bing | 1018807 | 07FA | 1011807 | 0.00 | 8.04 | 8.0 |
| 1773366 | Mrit orfane | 0317708 | Cosp | O3tine | 000 | 1,19072 | $1: 50.72$ |
| 277404 |  | Q3H708 | 08SP | 0317768 | 280.08 | 0.00 | [200.0. |
| 222858 | AdiNa Bing Mratorn | 081108 | 0651 | 031108 | 0.00 | 78.30 | 70.30 |
| 2355000 | Mritof Ame Bling Ram | 082508 | 0032 | 0392588 | 0.00 | 55.82 | 50.8 |
| 3001018 | Ame Blin Whate of | 114708 | CBFA | 1177703 | 0.00 | 2115 | 2115 |
| 310755 | Wheicof Anerge Bing | OMFSOS | OSSP | 041569 | 000 | 512.8 | 62280 |
| 325564 | Whe Offan Eitis | OTROM | D85m | 0770909 | 0.00 | 67.58 | 107.5 |
| 3225649 | Mrin Of An Ping | 0782009 | 0951 | 070809 | 0.00 | 2286 | 62.76 |
| 325688 | Conet Whin Offaveling | OTROMS | 0951 | 0770309 | 107.50 | 0.00 | (107.58) |
| 324236 | Whie of Ave Bitg | 073109 | 0931 | 0773109 | 0.00 | 52.64 | 62.4 |
| 326898 | IAve Bling Whate of | 24409 | Cas 2 | O81409 | 0.00 | 2286.6 | 26.67 |
| 327765 | Write Of Ave Biling | 117209 | OSSP | 11/1209 | 0.00 | 212 | 212 |
| 332781 | Write Of Ave Biing | 14209 | d9FA | $11 / 2209$ | 0.00 | 22746 | 25.46 |
|  |  |  |  | Toch' | 599965 | 5.22.1.1 | (721.36 |

# MIDWESTERN STATE UNIVERSITY 

Office of the President
3410 Taft Boulevard Wichita Falls, Texas 76308-2099

March 16, 2010

## The Honorable Steve Ogden, Chairman

Senate Finance Committee
1400 Congress Avenue, Room E1.038
Austin, TX 78701

## Dear Chairman Ogden:

Midwestern State University has not incurred significant costs relative to the Texas Tomorrow Fund programs because our standard tuition and fees applicable to all students have been less than the average rate paid by the Fund. While we are beginning to experience slight costs when students take less than a full load, owing to fixed fees that are charged regardless of class load, this has not caused a substantial cost burden.

In February our Board of Regents approved tuition and fees for FY 2011, to include a 3.95 percent increase as well as a new Intercollegiate Athletics Fee approved by the Texas Legislature during the last session. The rates for the Texas Tomorrow Fund programs in FY 2011 have not yet been announced; however, we do not foresee a concern for our university.

If I can provide additional information or assistance, please do not hesitate to let me know.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The state appropriates funds to the Coordinating Board for use in reimbursing the costs of five programs referred to in statute as "exemptions." Because of this reimbursement, however, these programs have not been included in the cost estimates and analyses of this report. The programs function more like grants than exemptions. These programs include the Educational Aides Exemption Program (TEC 54.214), the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families Exemption (TEC 54.212), the Tuition Assistance Program for Members of State Military Forces, (TEC 54.2155 and Texas Government Code 431.090), the Early High School Graduation Scholarship Program (TEC Chapter 56, Subchapter K,) and the Combat Exemption Program (TEC Chapter 54.203 (b-2).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Statutes call for the Board to adopt rules for the administration of several programs, including the Hazlewood Act (TEC 54.203), Exemption Program for Nurse Faculty Children (TEC 54.221), Exemption for Preceptors and their Children (TEC 54.222), and Exemptions for Firefighters Enrolled in Fire Science Curriculum. Statutes also call for the Board to maintain a database for tracking student progress through their 150 hours of eligibility for the Hazlewood Exemption, to design applications for the Nurse Faculty and Preceptor Exemptions, and to compile a list of degree programs eligible for the Firefighter Exemption.

